

Aaron Klein 5/1/11 WABC radio

[18:03] I don't think there's anything wrong with questioning the image. The man refused to release his birth certificate for two and a half years. It is the role of independent media to then take the certificate and make sure that it's real. You don't just take everything that a politician says and every document that he releases at face value. You check. You verify.

I believe that absolutely this document is real. But I don't want to just say that I believe it. So: to that effect, just as an exercise, I decided that Aaron Klein Investigative Radio, in conjunction with WND; we went to some of the foremost document experts, forensic graphic experts, and had them take a look at this document.

Now first of all, the claims that it is in layer, and that there were different layers that were placed at different times -- well actually just somebody who did the image, the graphics for my latest book, the Manchurian President, he is not a forensics expert, but he decided to take a real document, scan it, put it in PDF format, and then once he put it in PDF format, that document that is real and he has it in front of him, it also looked like it has layers, the same kind of claim that people are saying right now about Obama's birth certificate. If you scan any document and you put it in a PDF file, what you're doing is you're compressing the image; you're changing the nature of the image and so then you can take layers apart.

So that being said, I decided to go to actual, to some of the nation's foremost forensic graphic analysts, and I don't mind naming their names: Jim Pex at International Forensic Experts, also John Berryhill the President and COO of Berryhill Computer Forensics, I[v]an Zatkovich at eComputer Consultants, all with years of expert in criminal and civil testimony. They have consulted for graphics forensic expertise for such companies as Citicorp, McGraw Hill, other major publishing firms, online Amazon.com, others. So, they're incredibly qualified.

What they told me first of all is that the image online can never, really, fully be verified. You actually have to look at the original document to verify that it's real, to get technical, but the bottom line is this: let me read to you from the report. This is a twelve-page report commissioned by this program and WND, for example from eComputer Consultants, but all of the reports were essentially the same. Now, the individual who wrote this is a principal consultant for eComp Consultants. He has had years of experience testifying in federal criminal court, civil litigation, so what he said is several things: first of all, that "a certificate was produced by the State of Hawaii and then copied onto green safety paper, which is normal procedure." Then he says, "The green copy paper was then scanned, presumably by the White House, to produce a PDF document." They can actually get to the exact time and date that this document was scanned; that it is consistent with when the White House said that they first requested the document from the State of Hawaii.

Now, interesting, there are modifications on the document, in specific that there are some layers, but also white halo. He says that all of the modifications to the PDF document can be identified and are consistent with someone enhancing the readability of the document, so in other words, it seems that somebody in the White House did make an enhancement to the document so perhaps, so that people

can read it. He said that “there is no specific evidence of how or why any content has been changed, but there is clear evidence,” and this is interesting, “that the document was changed, but very likely enhanced so that we can better read it.” But even that does show that there is a part of the document that was changed. This is not conspiracy; this is some of the nation’s foremost document experts.

But let’s put that aside.[22:03] It would have to be a massive conspiracy, and I don’t want to get into that, that the State of Hawaii was involved in, in order to fake this document. I believe that this document is absolutely real. There is no evidence that it is not. And there would have to be a grand, crazy conspiracy for the State of Hawaii to be involved in faking a birth certificate. I don’t want to even get into that on this program.

And actually, the bottom line is that now Obama has done his part to show that he was born in Hawaii. The onus is no longer on Obama to show that. The onus is on somebody else. If somebody else can produce conclusive evidence that Obama was born somewhere else, so that this birth certificate is not real, that’s a different story, but that hasn’t happened, so we have to accept that Obama was born, and this is the evidence as of now, in Hawaii.[22:50]